## Fiscal Estimate - 2013 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number '	13-2215/1		Intro	duction Nu	ımber <b>A</b>	B-035	3
Description Scope of regulated activity under the campaign finance law, public financing of elections for certain state offices, extending the time limit for emergency rule procedures, providing an exemption from emergency rule procedures, granting rule-making authority, making appropriations, and providing a penalty								
Local:	No State Fiscal Indeterminate Increase Ex Appropriatio Decrease E Appropriatio Create New No Local Gove Indeterminate Increase Increase Increase Increase Increase	isting ins xisting ons Appropriatio rnment Costs Costs	Revenue Revenue Revenue  3.  Increase  Ory  Permiss  4.  Decrease	e Revenue sive Ma	5.Ty Go ndatory	crease Costs absorb within Yes ecrease Cost pes of Local overnment Ur Towns Counties School Districts	n agency'	ed Cities
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agend	y/Prepared B	у	Αι	uthorized	Signature			Date
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700 Jame				mes Land	nes Langdon (608) 267-1001			10/23/2013

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 10/23/2013

LRB Number	13-2215/1	Introduction Number	AB-0353	Estimate Type	Original			
Description								
Soons of regulated activity under the campaign finance law, public financing of elections for certain state								

Scope of regulated activity under the campaign finance law, public financing of elections for certain state offices, extending the time limit for emergency rule procedures, providing an exemption from emergency rule procedures, granting rule-making authority, making appropriations, and providing a penalty

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill, if enacted, would impose new registration and reporting requirements on individuals and organizations who, within 60 days of an election and by means of communications media, make a communication that refers to a candidate for most State offices. In addition, the bill creates a clean elections fund to provide grants to qualifying candidates for campaign expenses.

Prosecutors stated that this bill will have a fiscal effect on their offices, particularly the provision requiring registration by certain individuals and organizations. More people may violate these rules, which will result in complaints to a District Attorney's (DA's) office. Campaign finance and other election law violation cases are challenging and time-consuming because not only does the DA need to be the prosecutor, but also must coordinate and actively participate in the entire investigation. Most of the investigations will be focused on obtaining information in interviews of witnesses, which can be very time-consuming. Prosecutors also remarked on the time sensitivity of these cases because they would arise less than 60 days before an election.

Though prosecutors expressed concern about the potential increase in their workload should this bill be enacted, they were uncertain how much of an impact the bill will have on their offices. There is no statistical history with which to predict the number of complaints they will receive, and the actual cost of enactment of the bill may not be known until an election cycle occurs and the number of complaints filed is known.

Should this bill be enacted, DAs believe there could be a handful of cases in each prosecutorial unit, requiring a small percentage of an assistant district attorney (ADA) position per DA office. Though the timeframe for investigating and prosecuting these cases would generally be limited to the 60-day period prior to an election and shortly thereafter, DAs anticipate the cumulative time requirement to handle all of these cases on a statewide basis would be the equivalent of two full-time ADAs for a biennium.

An annual estimate of the potential cost for two assistant district attorneys is:

Salary: 2 ADAs X \$23.673/hr X 2088 hours = \$98,858

Fringe: \$98,858 X 38.01% = \$37,576

Total: \$136,434.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Should this bill be enacted, prosecutors anticipate there will be a long-range fiscal impact on their offices. Estimating the potential cost is difficult since there is no statistical database at this time, but prosecutors project a cost of \$136,434 per year.

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2013 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Dupdated	Corrected	Supplemental			
LRB Number 13-2215/1	Introduction Num	ber <b>AB-0353</b>			
Description Scope of regulated activity under the campaig state offices, extending the time limit for emeremergency rule procedures, granting rule-main penalty I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for sannualized fiscal effect):	gency rule procedures, provid king authority, making approp	ling an exemption from riations, and providing a			
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fise	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$136,434	\$			
(FTE Position Changes)	(2.0 FTE)				
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$136,434	\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR	136,434				
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wherevenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in li		r decrease state			
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes	\$	\$			
GPR Earned					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$			
NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCAL IMPACT				
	State	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$136,434	\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700	James Langdon (608) 267-10	01 10/23/2013			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1.5.25.20.0			